DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

Docket 110 00230101

We, Lawrence P. Wackett, Michael J. Sadowsky, and Mervyn L. de Souza, declare that: (1) our respective residences, citizenships, and mailing addresses are indicated below; (2) we have reviewed and understand the contents of the attached specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to herein, (3) we believe that we are the original, first, and joint inventors of the subject matter in

AN ISOLATED AND PURIFIED DNA MOLECULE AND PROTEIN FOR THE DEGRADATION OF TRIAZINE COMPOUNDS

Filed: Herewith

u

Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned

described and claimed therein and for which a patent is sought; and (4) we hereby acknowledge our duty to disclose to the Patent and Trademark Office all information known to us to be material to the patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, \$1.56.*

We hereby appoint Ann M. Mueting (Reg. No. 33,977), Kevin W. Raasch (Reg. No. 35,651), Mark J. Gebhardt (Reg. No. 35,518), and Karl G. Schwappach (Reg. No. 35,786) our attorneys with full powers (including the powers of appointment, substitution, and revocation) to prosecute this application and any division, continuation, continuation-in-part, reexamination, or reissue thereof, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Attention: Ann M. Mueting Mueting, Raasch, Gebhardt & Schwappach, P.A.

P.O. Box 581415

Minneapolis, MN 55458-1415

Telephone No. (612) 305-1220

The undersigned declare further that all statements made herein of their own knowledge are true and that all statements in made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Wherefore, we pray that Letters Patent be granted to us for the invention described and claimed in the attached specification and we hereby subscribe our names to the foregoing specification and claims, Declaration and Power of Attorney, on the date indicated below.

The sence P. Wachert

October 23, 1995

Lawrence P. Wackett 1575 Merrill Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

Citizenship: United States of America

October 23, 1995

Address: 710 Lovell Avenue, Roseville, Minnesota 55113

Citizenship: United States of America

October 23, 1995

Mervyn L. de Souza Address: 1276 Keston Street, Apt. #2, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

Citizenship: India

Michael J. Sadowsky

*Title 37, code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 is reproduced on the attached page.

12 10/23/95

Date

Date

JEFFREY T. SCHÆU NOTARY PUPLIC - MINNESOTA MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JANUARY 31, 2000

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose and information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The duty of disclosers were violated through bad faith or intentional missondut. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the a preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.